



FIG. 7. Shock data for shoal granite.

(13), and (15), lead to errors in pressures and material velocities of less than 4% and 2.5%, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The original data were obtained under Atomic Energy Commission contract. The author is grateful for that support.

* Presently at Martin Marietta Corporation, P.O. Box 179, Denver, Colo. 80201.

† Experimental work was done at IIT Research Institute.

¹ F. H. Shipman, W. M. Isbell, and A. H. Jones, Defense Atomic Support Agency Report, DASA 2214, 1969.

² R. C. Bass, H. L. Hawk, and A. J. Chabai, Sandia Corp. SC-4903 (RR), 1963.

³ D. R. Grine, Shock and Vibration Symp. 29th Oakland, Calif., 1960.

⁴ D. S. Hughes and R. G. McQueen, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union 39, 959 (1958).

⁵ D. B. Lombard, U. Calif. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Rep. No. UCRL-6311, 1961.

⁶ Shock experiments on shoal granite were also carried out by Sandia (Ref. 2); the results are complementary.

⁷ R. S. Dennen, J. Appl. Phys. 40, 3326 (1969).

⁸ M. H. Rice, R. G. McQueen, and J. M. Walsh, Solid State Phys. 6, 1 (1958).

⁹ H. Kolsky, *Stress Waves in Solids* (Dover, New York, 1952), p. 24.

¹⁰ W. M. Ewing, W. S. Jardetzky, and F. Press, *Elastic Waves in Layered Media* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1957), p. 24.

¹¹ V. G. Gregson, Jr., J. Appl. Phys. 38, 1798 (1967).

¹² F. Birch and D. Bancroft, J. Geol. 48, 752 (1940).

¹³ This curve is slightly modified from that used for the Hugoniot synthesis work in Ref. 14.

¹⁴ R. S. Dennen, Defense Atomic Support Agency Report, DASA 1652, 1965.